Evaluation of the Learning Success in Projects













Α	 	_	_	
ч	 	1 I	1	r -

Michael Gessler, University of Bremen, Germany

Version:

July 2014





Content

Main premises "Teaching and Examination":	. 3
Main premises "Educational Goals and Learning Objectives":	. 3
Main premise "Vocational Competence"	. 4
Main premise "Learning Success = Learning Process + Learning Outcome"	. 4
Priorities in Teaching –a Non-Trivial Question	. 4
Tools to Evaluate the Three Dimensions	. 5
Evaluation on the Learning Process	. 5
Evaluation on the Learning Outcome	6
Personal and Social Learning Objectives: Self-Evaluation and External Evaluation	. 7
Evaluation of Personal and Social Learning Objectives	. 7
Evaluation Sheet	. 8





Main premises "Teaching and Examination":

- Exams provide structure formation in teaching. It means on the one hand, that the decision on how to evaluate includes the decisions on how to teach and how to learn. On the other hand, knowledge tests at the end of a unit or a course, regardless of whether they are carried out in writing or orally, require a purely cognitive, teacher-centred teaching.
- Teacher-centred teaching does not represent the *real* problem. Didactically provided knowledge on a knowledge-based method by the teacher is not only useful but necessary in a society in which the knowledge increases almost explosively. Teacher-centred teaching is a very *efficient* way of teaching, since it saves time through the fact that learners benefit from the experience and knowledge of the teacher. The real problem is not the instruction-oriented and teacher-centred teaching, but the extensive absence of other forms of teaching which enable the learners to gain their *own experience* in an *experiential form of teaching*.
- Experience-based teaching facilitates the development of professional and personal skills. The goal of an experiential form of teaching is, as well as in knowledge-based teaching, that knowledge is developed in a specific professional domain. However, experience-based teaching reaches further: the aim is also to develop skills and abilities in a specific professional domain. And this goal does not yet describe completely by itself the value of experience-based teaching: in an experience-oriented teaching, a learner can develop different personal skills such as the ability to decide independently, or the capability to communicate and to cooperate with others.
- Experience-based teaching requires experience-based examination. To examine learning success in an experience-based teaching exclusively through a knowledge test would be tantamount to an examination of the four basic arithmetic operations, which includes only tasks for the addition. As an experience-based teaching allows to achieve a variety of objectives, the examination of learning success must accordingly be designed in a varied way. It is required a multidimensional examination, not a one-dimensional examination of the learning success.

Main premises "Educational Goals and Learning Objectives":

- Educational goals are based on a social consensus on values. Therefore they depend both on the social context and on the culture of a society, its traditions, history and customs.
- Educational goals are more: Educational goals include, on the one hand, (1) the development of the ability to integrate oneself into a social context, and on the other hand, (2) the development of the ability to develop further this social context. However, society is conceivable, either locally or regionally. Societies are in contact with each other, interact and unfortunately are often in conflict. Therefore educational goals always aim for (3) the development of the ability to transcend one's own personal and social boundaries, to deal with the foreign, to develop an understanding of the other, to recognize diversity, and to be able to deal with the diversity of people and cultures responsibly.
- Educational goals form the basis of learning objectives: On the one hand, educational goals are specified and verifiable by means of learning goals. On the other hand, educational goals can never be fully described in learning objectives, since learning objectives are necessarily orientated towards a learning process in a given period of time and in a particular context, and since learning objects also provide the required reference framework at a particular time in order to evaluate a completed learning process. Moreover, educational goals build a framework for a lifelong process of development in an ever-changing society, in a constantly changing world.





Learning objectives require continuous reflection and adaptation. Learning objectives are
defined at a particular time for a certain period of time and a certain context. Therefore, they are
provisional and always require an ongoing reflection and adaptation. In this sense, the following
learning objectives are only provisional proposals.

Main premise "Vocational Competence"

As a learning goal for project-based learning and work in the classroom we define "Vocational Competence" which we divide into three dimensions: personal learning objectives, social learning objectives and professional learning objectives.

- (1) Personal learning objectives:
 - Development of self-reliance
 - Development of responsibility
- (2) Social learning objectives:
 - Development of communication skills
 - Development of cooperation and conflict solving skills
- (3) Professional learning objectives:
 - Development of professional skills in an specific domain
 - Development of professional problem solving skills (project management)

Learning objectives in terms of (a) the ability to reflect, (b) learning itself (learning to learn), and (c) knowledge and application of methods are not shown as independent dimensions, since these form *cross-sectional dimensions* and since they are included in the personal, social and professional learning objectives.

Main premise "Learning Success = Learning Process + Learning Outcome"

In contrast to the knowledge-based teaching, in the experience-based teaching, personal and social learning objectives can be identified and evaluated in the *learning process*. Professional learning objectives are identified and evaluated through the *learning outcome* (product or service). Learning process and learning outcome together constitute the basis for the evaluation of learning success.

Priorities in Teaching -a Non-Trivial Question

A basic question of the evaluation is which priorities teaching should have. Are personal and social learning objectives in the foreground, are the three learning objectives of equal value or should the professional dimension have a higher weight? In order to be able to act professionally, the single focus on professional learning objectives is not enough. What is needed is rather the orientation to all three learning objectives. Professional actions are always involved in a social context which requires both personality and professional skills. The target of a vocational course can neither be a





socially incompetent skilled worker, nor a professionally incompetent social worker. The answer to the question what is the right priority can be different according to the current learning context (= the other options in an educational program). We distinguish between two settings: (1) If the project teaching is located in a learning context that is predominantly professionally and cognitive oriented, the social and personal learning objectives will have to be more weighted. (2) If the project teaching is located, however, in a learning context which already enables personal and social experiences, then the weighting may be different. Here are the suggestions for weighting:

Version 1:	
Learning context is mainly prof	fessionally
and cognitively oriented.	
Personal learning objectives	30 %
Social learning objectives	30 %
Professional learning objectives	40 %
Total weight	100 %

Version 2:	
In the learning context, the three	learning
objectives are already well balanced.	
Personal learning objectives	25 %
Social learning objectives	25 %
Professional learning objectives	50 %
Total weight	100 %

An evaluation proposal for version 1 is presented below, since it is assumed that a predominantly professional and cognitive oriented learning context is the general rule.

Tools to Evaluate the Three Dimensions

The following table gives an overview of the evaluation tools for the three dimensions.

Learning	Assessment	Tool	Weighting
objectives			
Personal	Evaluation on the	Informative preliminary talk	0 %
learning	learning process	1. Process monitoring and evaluation	0 %
objectives		Feedback - review	0 %
		2. Process monitoring and evaluation	15 %
		Feedback - final interview	15 %
Social learning	Evaluation on the	Informative preliminary talk	0 %
objectives	learning process	1. Process monitoring and evaluation	0 %
		Feedback - review	0 %
		2. Process monitoring and evaluation	15 %
		Feedback - final interview	15 %
Professional	Evaluation on the	Created service/product	10 %
learning	learning outcome	Colloquium	10 %
objectives		Presentation	10 %
		Documentation	10 %
Total weight			100 %

Evaluation on the Learning Process

Social and personal learning goals are monitored and assessed separately. However, they are discussed in the same feedback meeting - but one by one.

• Informative preliminary talk: In the informative preliminary talk the teacher explains the criteria for assessment to the student. In this preliminary informative talk the learner should carry out a





self-assessment regarding the evaluation criteria (see appendix: "Sample Evaluation Form - Students: Personal and social learning objectives"). The result of the informative preliminary talk is not included in the overall evaluation.

- 1st Process monitoring and evaluation: To approximately half the duration of the project (for instance, a project with a duration of four weeks = two weeks), the teacher observes the students and undertakes an assessment (see Appendix: "Sample Evaluation Form Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives"). The result of the first process monitoring and evaluation is not included in the overall evaluation.
- Feedback review: Halfway through the project, the teacher gives the students a feedback-review. The basis of the feedback conversation is, on the one hand, the self-assessment of learner (see Appendix: "Sample Evaluation Form Students: Personal and social learning objectives") and on the other hand, the records from the first process monitoring (see Appendix: "Sample Evaluation Form Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives"). The result of the feedback-review is not included in the overall evaluation.
- 2nd Process monitoring and evaluation: In the second half of the project (for instance, a project with a duration of four weeks = after two weeks) the teacher observes the learner and carries out an assessment (see Appendix: "Sample Evaluation Form Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives"). The result of the second process monitoring and evaluation is included in the overall evaluation.
- **Feedback final interview**: At the completion of the project, the teacher performs a final feedback-interview with the learner which is based on the results of the feedback-review, the self-evaluation of the student as well as the recording of the second process monitoring. The result of the final feedback- interview is included in the overall evaluation.

Evaluation on the Learning Outcome

The evaluation takes place at the completion of the project.

- Created service or product: For this we give no criteria, as these derive from the respective product or service. For example, in a project that has to do a catering by contract, the "compliance with hygienic formalities" could be a criterion. In contrast to this, "compliance with security formalities" could be a criterion in a project where a technical product is developed. The evaluation of the service or product is included in the overall evaluation.
- **Colloquium:** In the center of the colloquium (= oral exam) are the service or product created, and respective professional basics as well as technically related areas. For this we also give no criteria, as they result from the respective product or service. The colloquium can take place at the same time with the final feedback- interview. The evaluation (on the one hand, personal and social learning objectives and, on the other hand, professional learning objectives) is, however, separately. The evaluation of the colloquium is included in the overall evaluation.
- **Presentation:** At the presentation, the project team presents *orally* the technical approach, the project management as well as their result, the product or service created. Each team member performs his or her own part, which will be evaluated. For the evaluation of the presentation, we have developed an evaluation scheme. The evaluation of the presentation is included in the overall evaluation.
- **Documentation:** In the documentation, the project team presents *in writing form* the technical approach, the project management as well as their result, the product or service created. For the





evaluation of the documentation, we have developed an evaluation scheme. The evaluation of the documentation is included in the overall evaluation.

Personal and Social Learning Objectives: Self-Evaluation and External Evaluation

The students do a self-evaluation on the achievement of their personal and social learning objectives. This process of self-evaluation draws attention and initiates reflection.

The self-evaluation itself is not directly part of the external evaluation. Evaluation is the responsibility of the teacher. However, in the final feedback-interview it is taken into account how a student reflects and justifies his or her own evaluation and actions. Therefore, (1) process monitoring and evaluation (evaluation only by the teacher) *and* (2) evaluation of the final feedback-interview (evaluation by the teacher considering the students reflections) are considered separately in the overall evaluation.

The feedback-review in the middle of the project duration, which is not part of the overall evaluation, prepares the students for the above described final feedback-interview. The feedback-review also has the function to distinguish clearly between the trial phase (first half of the project) and the assessed serious phase (second half of the project).

Evaluation of Personal and Social Learning Objectives

A social as well as an individual norm of evaluation have been applied.

- Initial level: The initial level of a student is evaluated (low = 1 point, rather low = 2 points, medium = 3 points, rather high = 4 points, high = 5 points) in relation to other students (social reference norm). The initial level is evaluated at the beginning of the second half of the project (point of time). The evaluation of initial levels favours students with a rather high entry level and puts students with a rather low initial level at a disadvantage.
- Development of performance: Moreover, the development of performance of a student is evaluated (low = 1 point, rather low = 2 points, medium = 3 points, rather high = 4 points, high = 5 points) relative to his/her initial level (individual reference norm). The development of performance is evaluated during the second half of the project (period of time), but concerning his/her own level at the beginning of the second half of the project. The evaluation of the development of performance favours students with a rather low initial level and puts students with a rather high initial level at a disadvantage.
- **Grade 1 (50 %):** 50% of the overall grade is attributable to the sum of the single points of initial level and level of development of performance. By calculating the sums no discrimination takes place. All students have the same chance to get a good grade.
- Grade 2 (50 %): 50% of the overall grade is attributable to the level of reflection, which is evaluated in the final feedback-interview (low = 1 point, rather low = 2 points, medium = 3 points, rather high = 4 points, high = 5 points).
- Overall Grade: The overall grade is the result of the following formula
 Overall Grade = ½ (Initial Level + Development of Performance) + Level of Reflection





Evaluation Sheet

The table below shows the above mentioned six learning objectives of the three dimensions for which tools for "evaluation on the learning process" and "evaluation on the learning outcome" have been assigned (including reference to evaluation sheets which are applicable for this).





Evaluation Forms – Learning Objectives / Target Dimensions – Tools

								Target dimensions													
		Lea	Learning objectives			Personal learning objectives				Social learning objectives					Professional learning objectives			ves			
Evaluation forms	Sample Evaluation Form	Self-reliance	Responsibility	Communication skills	Cooperation and conflict solving skills	Professional skills (domain)	Professional problem-solving skills (PM)	Informative preliminary talk	1. Process monitoring and evaluation	Feedback - review	2. Process monitoring and evaluation	Feedback - final interview	Informative preliminary talk	1. Process monitoring and evaluation	Feedback - review	2. Process monitoring and evaluation	Feedback - final interview	Service/product created	Colloquium	Presentation	Documentation
Students: Personal and social learning objectives	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х		Х		Х	Х		Х		Х				
Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				
Teacher: Service/product created	*					Х												Х			
Teacher: Colloquium	*					Х													Х		
Teacher: Presentation	Х					Х	Х													Х	
Teacher: Documentation	Х					Х	Х														Х
* = The evaluation depends	on t	he sp	ecifi	c pro	ject o	order	resp	o. on	the s	ervic	e/pro	duct	to b	e cre	ated						

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.





Sample Evaluation Form - Students: Personal and social learning objectives

Self-evaluation of the Student:								
□ P	reliminary talk	☐ Feedback - review	☐ Feedb	oack - fir	nal inter	view		
Per	sonal learning objective	es .	rarely	now and then	often	very often		
	I finish my work on time an reminded by others.							
)ce	I finish my work thoroughly,	even if I am not in the mood.						
Self-reliance	I get missing information by I	nyself.						
Self-	I look for solutions to a p someone presents me the so	roblem and do not wait until lution.						
	I get a clear look at my task them.	s and consider how I best solve						
	I am reliable; people can rely	on me.						
lity	I do not cop out of tasks and	also I take on unpopular tasks.						
idisn	I make sure that we reach ou	r goal together as a team.						
Responsibility	I do not cop out of decisions and I do not look for excuses	s, I take responsibility in a team						
	I pay attention when I had nothing gets broken.	andle tangible objects so that						
Soc	ial learning objectives		rarely	now and then	often	very often		
	I listen to others carefully ar of view.	nd try to understand their point						
nication	I explain the reasons of my o	wn behavior to others.						
	I inform the others timely, ur	nderstandable and fully.						
Commu	I can also comment on unpl person does not feel injured.	easant things so that the other						
	In a conversation I can also have their say.	retract myself and let others						
licts	I make sure that nobody is everyone can participate.	s left behind in the team and						
Conf	I stick to rules and agreemen	ts.						
Cooperation & Conflicts	If I see that classmates have I try to help.	difficulties with their work, then						
perat	I can accept that opinions ma	y be different.						
C00	I can strike a compromise.							





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 1.1

Stude	nt:
Infor	mative preliminary talk & 1 st Process monitoring
	ollowing three goals are part of the informative preliminary talk "personal and social
	ng objectives":
	idents understand the elements of action and can link them with their own experiences.
	udents commit themselves to a total of eight elements of action (two per category), in
	development they want to focus their attention during the first half of the project.
	idents understand the process.
	·
-	ions for the preliminary talk "Personal Objectives":
	n which situation have you ever been successfully able to act independently? Can you describe is situation? How did it start, what have you done?"
	n doing so, which personal elements of action were very important? Which were less portant? Why?"
• "Ir	which elements of action (two per category) do you want to improve and to pay special tention to during the first half of the project?"
	p to half of the project, I will pay special attention on these elements of action and give you
	edback on them in the feedback-review. The first half of the project is useful to practice and is
	ot yet evaluated. It will be evaluated and graded in the second half of the project."
	finish my work on time and I do not need to be urged or reminded by others. Graph of the state
_	get missing information by myself.
ഗ്⊟	look for solutions to a problem and do not wait until someone presents me the solution.
	get a clear look at my tasks and consider how I best solve them.
	cess monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were observed.
	6. 1 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
	am reliable; people can rely on me.
ns.	do not cop out of tasks and also I take on unpopular tasks.
g T	make sure that we reach our goal together as a team.
Respons.	do not cop out of decisions, I take responsibility in a team and I do not look for excuses.
l l	pay attention when I handle tangible objects so that nothing gets broken.
1 st Pro	cess monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were observed.





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 1.2

Student:	
Informative preliminary talk & 1 st Process monitoring	
Questions for the talk "Social Objectives": • "In which situation have you ever worked successfully with others? Can you of the control of t	describe this
situation? How did it start, what have you done?""In doing so, which social elements of action were very important? Which were les Why?"	s important?
 "In which elements of action (two per category) do you want to improve and to attention to during the first half of the project?" "Up to half of the project, I will pay special attention on these elements of action." 	
feedback on them in the feedback-review. The first half of the project is useful to punot yet rated. It will be evaluated and graded in the second half of the project."	
I listen to others carefully and try to understand their point of view.	
I explain the reasons of my own behavior to others. I inform the others timely, understandable and fully. I can also comment on unpleasant things so that the other person does not feel injured.	
I inform the others timely, understandable and fully. I can also comment on unpleasant things so that the other person does not feel injured.	
In a conversation I can also retract myself and let others have their say.	
I make sure that nobody is left behind in the team and everyone can participate. I stick to rules and agreements.	
I stick to rules and agreements. If I see that classmates have difficulties with their work, then I try to help. I can accept that opinions may be different.	
I can accept that opinions may be different.	
I can strike a compromise.	
1st Process monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were	re observed.





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 2.1

Stud	ent:					
Fee	dback - review & 2 nd Process monitoring					
	The following three goals are part of the feedback-review "personal and social learning					
obje	ctives":					
(1) T	(1) The teacher gives feedback, explains his/her observation and students understand the points					
to w	to which the teacher pays special attention to.					
(2) S	(2) Students reflect on the situations observed by the teacher. The reflection consists (a) of the					
intel	lectual analysis of various alternative courses of action ("What would have happened if	?")				
and	also the analysis of the observed situation from (b) different positions ("What did XY th	ink				
abou	ıt it?", "How has it affected the behavior of XY"?).					
(3)	Students understand that the project phase which is being evaluated begins after	he				
feed	back-review and that now all the elements of action are in focus.					
	I finish my work on time and I do not need to be urged or reminded by others.					
<u>+</u>	I finish my work thoroughly, even if I am not in the mood.	-				
Self	I get missing information by myself. I look for solutions to a problem and do not wait until someone presents me the solution.	믑				
	I get a clear look at my tasks and consider how I best solve them.					
2 nd P	Process monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were observ					
	,					
	I am reliable; people can rely on me.					
spons.	I do not cop out of tasks and also I take on unpopular tasks.					
spc	I make sure that we reach our goal together as a team.					
Re	I do not cop out of decisions, I take responsibility in a team and I do not look for excuses.	무				
and n	I pay attention when I handle tangible objects so that nothing gets broken.					
	Process monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were					
obse	rved.					





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 2.2

Stud	lent:	
Fee	dback - review & 2 nd Process monitoring	
	I listen to others carefully and try to understand their point of view.	
Comm.	I explain the reasons of my own behavior to others.	
Ö	I inform the others timely, understandable and fully. I can also comment on unpleasant things so that the other person does not feel injured.	
O	In a conversation I can also retract myself and let others have their say.	
2 nd F	Process monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were	
	erved.	
Coop.	I make sure that nobody is left behind in the team and everyone can participate. I stick to rules and agreements. If I see that classmates have difficulties with their work, then I try to help. I can accept that opinions may be different.	
	I can strike a compromise.	
2 nd F	Process monitoring: Please take note of situations where the elements of action were observed.	ed.





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 3.1

Stud	ent:				
Fee	dback - final interview				
The	The following three goals are part of the final feedback-interview "personal and social learning				
•	objectives":				
(1) T	he teacher gives feedback, explains his/her observation and students understand the points	;			
	hich the teacher pays special attention to.				
	tudents reflect on the situations observed by the teacher. The reflection consists (a) of the				
	lectual analysis of various alternative courses of action ("What would have happened if?")				
	also the analysis of the observed situation from (b) different positions ("What did XY think	٢			
	t it?", "How has it affected the behavior of XY"?).				
	he teacher explains his/her evaluation (initial level, development of performance and	1			
refle	ction level) and provides guidance on how the students can continue to improve.				
	I finish my work on time and I do not need to be urged or reminded by others.	_			
Self	I finish my work thoroughly, even if I am not in the mood. I get missing information by myself.	-			
Š	I get missing information by myself. I look for solutions to a problem and do not wait until someone presents me the solution.	_			
	I get a clear look at my tasks and consider how I best solve them.	_			
Note	s on the level of reflection:	٦			
	I am reliable; people can rely on me.				
spons.	I do not cop out of tasks and also I take on unpopular tasks.				
spc	I make sure that we reach our goal together as a team.				
Re	I do not cop out of decisions, I take responsibility in a team and I do not look for excuses.	_			
	I pay attention when I handle tangible objects so that nothing gets broken.				
Note	s on the level of reflection:				





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal and social learning objectives 3.2

Stud	lent:	
Fee	dback - final interview	
	I listen to others carefully and try to understand their point of view.	
'n.	I explain the reasons of my own behavior to others.	
Comm.	I inform the others timely, understandable and fully.	
Co	I can also comment on unpleasant things so that the other person does not feel injured.	
	In a conversation I can also retract myself and let others have their say.	
Note	es on the level of reflection:	
	I make sure that nobody is left behind in the team and everyone can participate.	
٠.	I stick to rules and agreements.	
Coop.	If I see that classmates have difficulties with their work, then I try to help.	
Ö	I can accept that opinions may be different.	
	I can strike a compromise.	
Note	es on the level of reflection:	





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Personal learning objectives: Evaluation

Student:			low	rather low	medium	rather h	igh high
Personal Learning C	bjectives						
Self-reliance				Initial Level	Level of De	evelopment	Level of Reflection
I finish my work on time	and I do not need to	be urged or reminded by others.					
I finish my work thoroug	• •	n the mood.					
I get missing information							
		rait until someone presents me the s	solution.				
I get a clear look at my to	asks and consider hov	v I best solve them.					
Responsibility				Initial Level	Level of De	evelopment	Level of Reflection
I am reliable; people can							
I do not cop out of tasks							
I make sure that we read							
•		ility in a team and I do not look for e	xcuses.				
I pay attention when I ha	andle tangible objects	so that nothing gets broken.					
Total score:							
Self-reliance	Initial level:	(max. 25 points)	Level of development:	(max. 25 points)	Score:		(max. 50 points)
Responsibility	Initial level:	(max. 25 points)	Level of development:	(max. 25 points)	Score:		(max. 50 points)
Total (Score Self-rel	iance + Score Res	ponsibility)				Total:	½ Total:
					(m	ax. 100 points) (max. 50 points)
Reflection							Total: (max. 50 points)
Total Score Persona	ll Learning Object	ives = ½ (Total Score Self-reli	ance + Total Score Re	sponsibility) + Reflection			Total: (max. 100 points)





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Social learning objectives: Evaluation

Student:			low	rather low	medium	rather hig	h high
Social Learning Obje	ectives						
Communication				Initial Level	Develop Perfor		Level of Reflection
I listen to others carefully	and try to understand	d their point of view.					
I explain the reasons of n	•						
I inform the others timely							
		t the other person does not feel ir	njured.				
In a conversation I can als	'	et others have their say.					
Cooperation and Conflict	t Solving			Initial Level	Develop Perfori		Level of Reflection
		am and everyone can participate.					
I stick to rules and agreer							
		eir work, then I try to help.					
I can accept that opinions							
I can strike a compromise	2.						
Total score:							
Communication	Initial level:	(max. 25 points)	Level of development:	(max. 25 points)	Score:		(max. 50 points)
Cooperation and Conflict Solving	Initial level:	(max. 25 points)	Level of development:	(max. 25 points)	Score:		(max. 50 points)
Total (Score Commu	nication + Score C	ooperation and Conflict Sol	ving)		,	Total:	½ Total:
					(m	ax. 100 points)	(max. 50 points)
Reflection							Total:
							(max. 50 points)
Total Coore Costal La	o unio o Obio etito -	- 1/ /Tatal Saava Communic	otion - Total Corne C	annuation and Conflict Col	oine) i Defi-	·	Total:
Total Score Social Le	arning Objectives	= ½ (Total Score Communic	ation + Total Score C	ooperation and Conflict Sol	ving) + keflec	uon	
							(max. 100 points)

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication [communication] reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Presentation

Student:	
----------	--

						Score
		Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	
Structure	At the beginning of the presentation, an					
and	overview is given. An agenda is given.					
Content	The main part is clearly structured. There is a	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	
	The main part is clearly structured. There is a common thread running through the					
	presentation. The relevant technical contents are suitably	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	
	formulated (oriented on target group).					
	The presentation is well-founded in content	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	
	(sources are referenced) and factually correct.	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	
	The presentation closes with a summary and an	1-2	3-3	0-8	9-10	
	outlook.					max.
		1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	50 points
Language and	The lecturer speaks to the audience.					
Content		1-3	4-6	7-9	9-12	
	The lecturer speaks clearly and understandably.					max.
		1-3	4-6	7-9	9-13	25 points
Media and	The use of media is appropriate and supports the presentation.					
Direction		1	2	3	4-6,25	
	The design of the presentation is appealing and expressive.					
	The lecturer has a good time management and	1	2	3	4-6,25	
	The lecturer has a good time management and sticks to the time limit.	1	2	3	4.6.35	
	The direction of the team suits: tasks, order and	1	2	3	4-6,25	
	transitions are clarified.	1	2	3	4-6,25	max. 25 points
Overall	max. 100 points	1		<u>J</u>	7-0,23	25 points





Sample Evaluation Form - Teacher: Documentation

Student:

Outline of the Documentation	Comment	Score
Table of contents	Complete? Clear structure?	max. 10 points
		·
Brief description of the	Ground or antecedents of the project, purpose, target, approach and	
project	results briefly and understandably shown?	
p. 0,000	,,	
Bibliography	Are all sources mentioned quoted in the documentation? Are all sources	
	listed?	
Written Reflection	Problem:	max. 20 points
	How do you evaluate the technical difficulty of the project?	
	What have you learned?	
	Project Management:	
	How do you evaluate your project planning and your project	
	execution?	
	How do you explain any deviations from the plan? What have you learned?	
Duciest Management	What have you learned? Stakeholder Analysis	may 10 paints
Project Management Documents		max. 10 points
(Project Planning)	 Groups of people and institutions (stakeholders) are identified. Interests and possible behavior of the stakeholders are identified and 	
(i roject i lanning)	visualized.	
	Measures to be taken are identified and matched to the expected	
	behavior.	
	Goal Matrix	max. 10 points
	The overall objective and sub-objectives (target results) are named.	·
	The goals are SMART formulated and correspond with the assignment	
	description.	
	Indicators for the achievement of the objectives are set out.	
	Prerequisites (KO-criteria) are named.	
	Phase Plan	max. 10 points
	The phases and milestones of the project are visualized completely and	
	correctly.	
	Work Breakdown Structure	max. 10 points
	 Sub-projects and work packages completely derived from the goal matrix. 	
	The work packages have understandable designations.	
	The work packages are logically and functionally arranged in a	
	hierarchical tree structure.	
	Responsibilities for work packages (possibly even sub-projects) are	
	named.	
	Project schedule	max. 10 points
	The activities are disposed in a logical sequence in accordance with the work breakdown structure.	
	The individual phases of work are realistic.	
	The phases and milestones visualized are coordinated with the phase	
	plan.	
Documentation of the	Presentation of the project results, e.g. product documentation, a concept	max. 20 points
Results	or the detailed documentation of an event.	·
Total score (max. 100 po	ints)	